

**FINCHIE LOGISTIC / CONSTRUCTION / COMMODITY**  
SOLUTIONS (PTY) LTD



**F-LCC SOLUTIONS**  
GLOBAL WORK



# ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATION & IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

**PREPARED BY**  
F-LCC SOLUTIONS

**PRESENTED TO**  
GENERAL PUBLIC

081 277 9155 / [inquiry@flccsolution.com](mailto:inquiry@flccsolution.com) / [flccsolution.com](http://flccsolution.com)



## Table of Contents

<b>ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATION &amp; IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>1. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>0</b>
1.1 BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION .....	0
1.2 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES .....	1
1.3 SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY .....	1
<b>2. WASTE MANAGEMENT: CRISIS IN SOUTH AFRICA'S URBAN SPACES .....</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1 THE NATIONAL WASTE LANDSCAPE .....	2
TABLE 1: SOUTH AFRICA WASTE GENERATION & DISPOSAL STATISTICS (2024/25) .....	2
2.2 FLCC FIELD OBSERVATIONS: WASTE ALONG OPERATIONAL ROUTES .....	3
2.3 INFORMAL WASTE RECLAIMERS AND THE JOHANNESBURG EXPERIENCE .....	4
<b>3. AIR QUALITY: BREATHING IN THE BURDEN OF URBAN GROWTH.....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 NATIONAL AIR QUALITY STATUS .....	6
TABLE 2: KEY AIR POLLUTANT SOURCES IN GAUTENG'S URBAN ENVIRONMENT (2025) .....	6
3.2 GAUTENG: THE MOST POLLUTING PROVINCE.....	7
3.3 FLCC FIELD OBSERVATIONS: AIR QUALITY ON THE ROAD .....	7
TABLE 3: SEASONAL PM <sub>2.5</sub> OBSERVATIONS — GAUTENG URBAN CORRIDORS (FLCC ROUTE DATA, 2025/26).....	8





<b>4. INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT &amp; ECOSYSTEM DISRUPTION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1 EXTENT OF ECOLOGICAL LOSS IN GAUTENG .....	9
TABLE 4: ECOLOGICAL INFRASTRUCTURE TRANSFORMATION IN GAUTENG PROVINCE .....	9
4.2 INFRASTRUCTURE AND THE HIGHVELD GRASSLANDS.....	10
4.3 FLCC FIELD OBSERVATIONS: ECOSYSTEMS UNDER PRESSURE .....	10
<b>5. CONSOLIDATED ANALYSIS &amp; KEY DATA SUMMARIES .....</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1 URBAN GROWTH PRESSURE INDEX — GAUTENG CORRIDORS.....	12
5.2 WASTE DIVERSION GAP ANALYSIS.....	12
5.3 AIR QUALITY: COMPARATIVE PM <sub>2.5</sub> ESTIMATES — MAJOR SOUTH AFRICAN CITIES .....	13
5.4 TIMELINE OF KEY ENVIRONMENTAL EVENTS OBSERVED (2025-2026) .....	14
<b>6. SOUTH AFRICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY FRAMEWORK.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>7. RECOMMENDATIONS .....</b>	<b>17</b>
7.1 FOR GOVERNMENT AND MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES .....	17
7.2 FOR THE PRIVATE SECTOR AND THE LOGISTICS INDUSTRY .....	17
7.3 FOR FLCC SOLUTIONS — INTERNAL ACTION PLAN.....	18
<b>8. CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>REFERENCES &amp; BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>20</b>

*if you are found misusing this document for any illegal act, you will be taken to task or be liable to pay penalty for negligent misrepresentation of flcc solutions.*





# ENVIRONMENTAL OBSERVATION & IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

*Urban Growth, Waste Management, Air Quality & Ecosystem Disruption*

South African Urban Corridors — Gauteng Focus Region

**Observation Period: June 2025 — May 2026**

Publication Date: 23 May 2026

Report Reference: FLCC-ENV-2026-001

**Prepared by: FLCC Solutions Environmental Research Division**

[inquiry@flccsolution.com](mailto:inquiry@flccsolution.com) | [www.flccsolution.com](http://www.flccsolution.com)



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

---

This report presents the findings of a twelve-month environmental observation and impact assessment conducted by FLCC Solutions between June 2025 and May 2026. As a logistics, transportation, and construction company operating across South Africa's urban and peri-urban corridors — with primary operations in Gauteng — FLCC Solutions occupies a unique vantage point from which to observe, document, and critically assess the environmental consequences of rapid urban growth.

Over the observation period, FLCC Solutions field teams, drivers, and operational staff traversed major urban corridors daily, accumulating first-hand evidence of deteriorating environmental conditions. These observations were supplemented by desktop research, published scientific literature, and government data sources. The three core thematic pillars of this assessment are: (1) Waste Management and Illegal Dumping, (2) Urban Air Quality Deterioration, and (3) the Impact of Infrastructure Development on Local Ecosystems.

Key findings reveal that South Africa's urban centres — particularly Gauteng — are under severe and increasing environmental stress. Approximately 90% of the country's total solid waste ends up in landfills or illegal dumpsites, recycling rates remain below 11%, and Gauteng Province has been identified as the most polluting of all nine South African provinces. The built environment in Gauteng has encroached on more than 56% of the province's critical ecological infrastructure, with a 23% expansion of the built environment recorded between 2011 and 2018 alone.

FLCC Solutions issues this report not merely as an academic exercise, but as a responsible corporate citizen that recognises its own role in both the problem and the solution. This document is intended to inform public discourse, policy dialogue, and future sustainable development practices across South Africa's urban regions.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

---

### **1.1 Background and Motivation**

South Africa is one of the most rapidly urbanising nations in sub-Saharan Africa. The Gauteng City-Region alone — comprising Johannesburg, Tshwane (Pretoria), and Ekurhuleni — accommodates an estimated 16 million people and serves as the economic engine of the country, contributing approximately 36.3% to national GDP. This concentration of population, industrial activity, and infrastructure development generates profound and often irreversible environmental consequences.

FLCC Solutions was founded with the tagline 'Moving Materials. Building Futures.' This is not merely a commercial statement — it reflects a commitment to understanding how the movement of materials and the act of building interact with the natural environment. With more than 60 vehicles on the road, 175,000+ tonnes of materials transported annually, and operational exposure across Gauteng's construction, mining, and logistics corridors, FLCC occupies a front-row position in witnessing the city's environmental story.

This environmental observation report was commissioned internally in June 2025, following repeated field observations by FLCC drivers and site managers of escalating waste dumping



incidents, visible air quality deterioration around construction zones and industrial sites, and the progressive destruction of green and ecological corridors along major transport routes. The study formally documents these observations alongside supporting scientific evidence to produce a comprehensive public-facing assessment.

## 1.2 Research Objectives

- To document and quantify the state of waste management along Gauteng's major urban transport corridors.
- To assess current air quality conditions in urban South Africa and identify primary emission sources.
- To evaluate the impact of infrastructure expansion on local biodiversity and ecosystem services.
- To present actionable, evidence-based recommendations for environmental improvement.
- To contribute to public awareness and policy discourse on urban sustainability in South Africa.

## 1.3 Scope and Methodology

The study focused primarily on Gauteng Province, with reference to national-level data where relevant. Observation data was collected through FLCC's operational activities — including route-based field notes, photographic documentation, and informal interviews with drivers, site managers, and community members along routes. Desktop research was conducted using peer-reviewed journal articles, government environmental reports, Statistics South Africa data, and publications from organisations such as the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI), the Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment (DFFE), and the Clean Air Fund.

The observation period ran from 11 June 2025 to 11 May 2026, constituting a full twelve-month cycle across summer and winter environmental conditions — a critical consideration in a region where seasonal variation significantly influences air quality and waste decomposition dynamics.





**2. WASTE MANAGEMENT: CRISIS IN SOUTH AFRICA'S URBAN SPACES**

**2.1 The National Waste Landscape**

South Africa generates approximately 55 million tonnes of general waste per year, according to the National Waste Management Strategy. Of this staggering volume, only an estimated 11% is diverted from landfills through recycling or reuse programmes. The overwhelming majority — approximately 90% of all generated waste — ends up in licensed landfills or, more concerningly, in illegal dumpsites and open spaces across urban and peri-urban areas.

Globally, municipal solid waste (MSW) generation is estimated at approximately 2 billion tonnes per year, with Africa accounting for a significant and growing proportion of this total. Between 2012 and 2016, MSW generation in Africa increased by approximately 55%. Sub-Saharan Africa alone is projected to generate 62 million tonnes of waste per year, and South Africa's urban centres are among the primary contributors to this figure. The South Africa waste management market reached an estimated USD 9.52 billion in 2024 and is projected to grow to USD 14.97 billion by 2033, reflecting both the scale of the problem and the emerging economic opportunity in sustainable waste solutions.

**Table 1: South Africa Waste Generation & Disposal Statistics (2024/25)**

Indicator	Volume / Value	Benchmark	Source
Total Waste Generated (annual)	~55 million tonnes	Africa avg: 62 Mt/yr	Dept. of Environmental Affairs
Waste Diverted from Landfills	~11% (6 million tonnes)	Global target: 50%	Waste Management Strategy 2020
Waste Sent to Landfills/Dumpsites	~90%	OECD avg: ~30%	Dept. of Environmental Affairs
Construction & Demolition Waste	10.8 – 24.3 Mt/yr	—	Berge & von Blottnitz (2022)
SA Waste Management Market Size	USD 9.52 Billion (2024)	Projected USD 14.97 Bn (2033)	IMARC Group Research, 2025





Waste Market Growth (CAGR)	4.63% (2025–2033)	Africa CAGR: 9.6%	IMARC / NextMSC Reports
Municipal Landfills Near Capacity	Multiple (incl. Newcastle)	—	ScienceDirect CDW Review, 2025

Source: Compiled from Department of Environmental Affairs (2018), National Waste Management Strategy 2020, IMARC Group (2025), Berge & von Blottnitz (2022).

### 2.2 FLCC Field Observations: Waste Along Operational Routes

During FLCC's daily operations across routes in Johannesburg, Ekurhuleni, Tshwane, and surrounding industrial zones, field teams consistently observed and documented conditions



that reflect the waste management crisis described in academic and government literature.





The following observations were recorded during routine operations between June 2025 and May 2026:

*FLCC FIELD NOTE — July 2025 | Route: Germiston to Midrand Industrial Corridor: FLCC side-tipper drivers reported significant illegal dumping alongside the N3 off-ramps and along the Allandale Road service road. Construction rubble, mixed household waste, and what appeared to be industrial chemical containers were visible at multiple points.*

Similar observations were documented on routes serving the East Rand logistics corridors and the Tshwane industrial areas. During FLCC's cross-border operations, drivers recorded illegal dumping sites on the outskirts of several small towns along the N1 and N4 national routes, suggesting that the problem extends well beyond the metropolitan core.

*FLCC FIELD NOTE — November 2025 | Route: Rosslyn to Centurion (Construction Supply Run): FLCC's construction supply team delivering aggregate and building materials to a development site in Centurion noted an accumulation of construction and demolition waste (CDW) — including concrete rubble, broken brickwork, and timber — dumped along a servitude adjacent to the Hennops River tributary. This is consistent with published findings that most CDW in South Africa's Gauteng Province is composed primarily of concrete and wood materials.*

The construction and demolition waste challenge is particularly relevant to FLCC's operations. Research published in ScienceDirect (2025) estimates CDW generation in South Africa at between 10.8 and 24.3 million tonnes per annum, with Gauteng and the Western Cape accounting for a disproportionately large share due to their high construction activity. Concrete and wood-based materials make up over 50% of CDW composition. FLCC's own materials supply and transport operations have exposed the company to construction sites where waste segregation practices are minimal or entirely absent.

### 2.3 Informal Waste Reclaimers and the Johannesburg Experience

South Africa's informal waste reclaimer sector represents one of the most significant — yet chronically undervalued — contributions to waste diversion in the country. Research from the Frontiers journal (2025) examining the African Waste Reclaimers Organisation in Johannesburg highlights that digital technologies and ICT-enabled platforms can significantly optimise waste diversion when paired with the integration of informal waste pickers into formal systems.

FLCC staff regularly interact with waste reclaimers at construction sites, depots, and along urban corridors. In the Johannesburg CBD and along the Soweto and Alexandra corridors, reclaimers were observed sorting through accumulated waste near loading zones and along roadsides — performing an essential service without formal recognition or compensation structures. The July 2025 launch of the Africa Zero Waste Academy in Durban, jointly





established by GAIA and groundWork, signals growing civil society momentum toward formalising and empowering this sector.





### 3. AIR QUALITY: BREATHING IN THE BURDEN OF URBAN GROWTH

#### 3.1 National Air Quality Status

Air pollution stands as one of the most significant and measurable environmental consequences of South Africa's urban expansion. According to the Global Burden of Disease (2019) data, air pollution was the 7th leading risk factor for early death in South Africa. The country's three National Air Quality Priority Areas — the Highveld Priority Area (centred on Gauteng and Mpumalanga), the Vaal Triangle Airshed Priority Area, and the Waterberg-Bojanala Priority Area — represent zones where ambient air quality consistently fails to meet national standards.

The South African National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), established under the National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act of 2004 (Act No. 39 of 2004), set limits for pollutants including PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and carbon monoxide (CO). Monitoring data consistently shows that readings in these priority areas exceed permissible limits, particularly during winter months when temperature inversions trap pollutants close to ground level.

**Table 2: Key Air Pollutant Sources in Gauteng's Urban Environment (2025)**

Pollution Source	Primary Pollutants	Estimated Contribution	Health Impact
Coal-fired power generation	SO <sub>2</sub> , PM <sub>2.5</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub>	35-40%	Respiratory disease, cardiovascular
Vehicle emissions (road transport)	NO <sub>2</sub> , CO, PM <sub>10</sub> , benzene	25-30%	Lung disease, carcinogenic
Industrial processes (mining, manufacturing)	VOCs, heavy metals, SO <sub>2</sub>	15-20%	Neurological, carcinogenic
Biomass burning (domestic fuel)	PM <sub>2.5</sub> , CO, dioxins	10-15%	Acute respiratory illness
Waste burning (illegal dumping)	Dioxins, furans, PM <sub>2.5</sub>	5-8%	Endocrine disruption, cancer
Construction dust (CDW, earthworks)	PM <sub>10</sub> , silica dust	3-5%	Silicosis, asthma aggravation

Source: SAEON South African Risk & Vulnerability Atlas; PMC Research (2022); FLCC field observations (2025-2026).





### 3.2 Gauteng: The Most Polluting Province

Research published in the PMC journal examining the Gauteng City-Region confirms that Gauteng Province ranks as the most polluting of all nine South African provinces. This is driven by a combination of heavy industrial activity (particularly coal-fired power stations in the adjacent Mpumalanga Highveld), an enormous vehicle fleet, dense population activity, and the widespread burning of waste in informal settlements.

In Johannesburg alone, the economic cost of poor air quality is staggering. Research by the Clean Air Fund estimates that USD 640 million (approximately R12 billion) could be saved between 2023 and 2040 if Johannesburg implements targeted clean air measures. This figure is equivalent to 36% of the South African government's total budget for HIV and HIV/TB health outcomes in 2019 — a sobering comparison that illustrates how environmental degradation directly compounds public health burdens.

The 'Cape Town Brown Haze' — a phenomenon documented in the City of Cape Town's Air Quality Management Plan 2024 — also illustrates that this is not a Gauteng-specific crisis. During early spring and late winter, temperature inversions trap pollutants in the Cape Town basin, creating visible brown atmospheric discolouration that signals dangerous PM concentrations. Cape Town's 2nd Generation Air Quality Management Plan (2024) acknowledges this as a key safety and environmental concern, particularly for low-income communities in dense residential zones.

### 3.3 FLCC Field Observations: Air Quality on the Road

FLCC's fleet operations provide a unique longitudinal perspective on air quality variation across time and geography. Drivers operating early morning routes (3:00 AM to 8:00 AM) consistently report worse air quality during July and August — Gauteng's coldest months — when overnight temperature inversions are most intense. This aligns precisely with scientific documentation of diurnal air pollution patterns captured in municipal and provincial monitoring data.

*FLCC FIELD NOTE — March 2026 | Route: Centurion to Rosslyn Automotive Zone: An FLCC car carrier crew transporting vehicles to Ford dealership operations in Gezina observed a persistent column of black smoke rising from an illegal burning event near the R80 highway. Waste — including what appeared to be plastic, rubber, and electronic components — was being burned at an informal dumpsite approximately 200 metres from a residential area. The crew documented the incident via photograph and reported it to local environmental hotlines.*





**Table 3: Seasonal PM2.5 Observations — Gauteng Urban Corridors (FLCC Route Data, 2025/26)**

Season / Period	Avg Observed Conditions	Burning Incidents Noted	Visibility Range	Driver Health Reports
Winter (Jun–Aug 2025)	Severe haze, brown sky	12 events	5–15 km reduced	3 reports (eye/throat)
Spring (Sep–Nov 2025)	Improved, some dust	5 events	20–40 km normal	1 report
Summer (Dec 2025–Feb 2026)	Good, thunderstorm clearing	2 events	40+ km	0 reports
Autumn (Mar–May 2026)	Moderate, inversion starting	7 events	15–30 km	2 reports (throat)

Source: FLCC Solutions operational field records, 2025–2026. Conditions assessed qualitatively by trained drivers using standardised reporting forms.





#### 4. INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT & ECOSYSTEM DISRUPTION

##### 4.1 Extent of Ecological Loss in Gauteng

Gauteng is one of the world's fastest-growing megacity regions and, paradoxically, sits within one of South Africa's most ecologically sensitive landscapes. The province encompasses portions of the Grassland Biome — a globally threatened biome — as well as critical watercourses, wetlands, and biodiversity corridors connecting the Cradle of Humankind World Heritage Site to the broader highveld plateau ecosystem.

Research published in PMC (Frontiers in Sustainable Cities) reveals the scale of ecological transformation in Gauteng. Between 2011 and 2018, the built environment in Gauteng expanded by over 23%. By 2020, agricultural land expansion had reduced the province's ecological infrastructure by 40.9%, while the expansion of the built environment encroached into a further 15.1%. In total, over 56% of Gauteng's critical ecological infrastructure has been transformed or degraded.

A particularly alarming finding is the 1,122% increase in cleared land area (greenfield conversion to development-ready land) recorded during this period. This figure represents natural land — grasslands, wetlands, rocky outcrops — being stripped bare in preparation for construction, with no requirement for ecological offsetting or habitat replacement in most cases.

**Table 4: Ecological Infrastructure Transformation in Gauteng Province**

Indicator	Extent of Change	Period / Source
Built environment expansion	+23% increase	2011–2018   PMC / Gauteng City-Region Study
Agricultural land encroachment on ecology	-40.9% ecological infrastructure	By 2020   PMC Research
Built environment encroachment on ecology	-15.1% ecological infrastructure	By 2020   PMC Research
Total critical ecological infrastructure lost	>56% transformed or degraded	2020   PMC / SANBI
Cleared land area (greenfield conversion)	+1,122% increase	2011–2018   PMC Research





Gauteng C-Plan protected areas (mapped)	Version 4.0 published	2024   SANBI / Gauteng Province
National built-up land coverage	>2.9% of country's land area	2024   SANBI National Biodiversity Assessment

Source: PMC (Urban Nexus and Transformative Pathways, Gauteng City-Region, 2021); SANBI National Biodiversity Assessment (2025); Gauteng Conservation Plan v4.0 (2024).

## 4.2 Infrastructure and the Highveld Grasslands

South Africa's grasslands — particularly the Highveld Sourveld and the Mesic Highveld Grassland subtypes that underlie much of Gauteng's non-urbanised land — are a globally recognised biodiversity hotspot. These grasslands support endemic plant species, ground-nesting birds, invertebrate pollinators, and a network of wetland complexes that serve as the primary water retention and purification system for the region's rivers and aquifers.

The Gauteng Conservation Plan (C-Plan) Version 4.0, published in 2024 by the South African National Biodiversity Institute (SANBI) and the Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development, maps the province's critical biodiversity areas (CBAs) and ecological support areas (ESAs). The C-Plan provides a spatial blueprint intended to guide development away from the most ecologically sensitive zones. However, field evidence gathered by FLCC during operational activities suggests that compliance with the C-Plan's spatial guidance remains inconsistent, with numerous construction projects visibly impinging on areas that should be protected.

## 4.3 FLCC Field Observations: Ecosystems Under Pressure

*FLCC FIELD NOTE — October 2025 | Route: Midrand to Waterfall Development Zone: FLCC vehicles servicing construction material deliveries in the rapidly developing Waterfall node observed extensive earthworks covering previously undisturbed grassland terrain. One site — covering an estimated 40–60 hectares — had been completely stripped of topsoil and vegetation, leaving bare red earth. No visible erosion control measures, seed banks, or ecological mitigation fencing was present. A network of seasonal drainage channels had been disrupted by the earthworks, with silt-laden runoff visible flowing toward a nearby spruit (stream).*

These observations align with scientific findings on the impact of urban development on hydrological systems. Research consistently identifies the disruption of natural stormwater flows and the siltation of urban watercourses as primary ecological consequences of infrastructure development. Downstream impacts — reduced water quality, aquatic biodiversity loss, flooding risk — compound over time and are difficult to reverse once development is complete.





*FLCC FIELD NOTE — January 2026 | Route: East Rand Industrial Zone, Boksburg to Brakpan: During a summer delivery run supporting an infrastructure project in the East Rand, FLCC staff observed a construction site adjacent to a rehabilitated wetland area. Despite visible wetland indicator vegetation (sedges, reeds, Typha sp.) and a clear wetland boundary marker, construction machinery had tracked through the wetland margin, compacting hydric soils and introducing hydrocarbon contamination from equipment leakage. The wetland in question was not formally notarised as a protected area but was clearly ecologically active and functioning.*

Globally, future urban expansion is projected to cause between 11 and 33 million hectares of natural habitat loss by 2100 under various shared socioeconomic pathways. Research in Nature Communications projects that urban expansion within current key biodiversity priority areas will be 37-44% higher than the global average — a trajectory that, if unchecked, will result in the irreversible loss of South Africa's remaining grassland, wetland, and bushveld habitats.





**5. CONSOLIDATED ANALYSIS & KEY DATA SUMMARIES**

**5.1 Urban Growth Pressure Index — Gauteng Corridors**

The following table consolidates FLCC's environmental pressure assessment across the key urban corridors traversed during operations. Each corridor was assessed based on field observations across the twelve-month period, rated on a qualitative scale of Low / Medium / High / Critical.

Urban Corridor	Waste Severity	Air Quality	Ecosystem Risk	Overall Pressure
N3 — Johannesburg to Midrand	Critical	High	High	CRITICAL
N1 — Johannesburg South to Vereeniging	High	Critical	Medium	CRITICAL
R80 — Centurion to Rosslyn (Automotive)	Medium	High	Medium	HIGH
East Rand — Boksburg to Brakpan	High	High	Critical	CRITICAL
N4 — Tshwane to Mozambique Border	Medium	Medium	High	HIGH
Waterfall/Midrand Development Zone	Low	Medium	Critical	HIGH
Johannesburg CBD & surrounding	Critical	Critical	Low	CRITICAL
Vaal Triangle (N1 South)	High	Critical	High	CRITICAL

Source: FLCC Solutions Environmental Observation Index, compiled from field records June 2025 – May 2026.

**5.2 Waste Diversion Gap Analysis**

Waste Stream	Current Diversion Rate (SA)	Target / Best Practice
--------------	-----------------------------	------------------------





Municipal solid waste (general)	~11%	50%+ (EU Landfill Directive 2035 target)
Construction & demolition waste	<15% formally recycled	70%+ (EU CDW target)
E-waste (electronic)	<5%	45%+ (Global E-waste Monitor)
Organic/food waste	<8%	30% (compost & biogas target)
Plastics	~16% (PET only higher)	50%+ (National target)
Paper and cardboard	~50%	65%+ (industry target)

Source: South Africa National Waste Management Strategy 2020; Department of Environmental Affairs; Global E-Waste Monitor 2024.

### 5.3 Air Quality: Comparative PM<sub>2.5</sub> Estimates — Major South African Cities

City / Region	Est. Annual Mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	WHO Guideline (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Exceedance Factor
Johannesburg (Highveld)	~30-45	5 (annual mean)	6-9x above guideline
Vaal Triangle	~40-60	5	8-12x above guideline
Cape Town	~15-25 (winter peaks)	5	3-5x above guideline
Tshwane (Pretoria)	~25-35	5	5-7x above guideline
Durban (eThekweni)	~20-30	5	4-6x above guideline
Rural / unpolluted reference	~5-8	5	Within / marginal

Source: SAEON South African Risk & Vulnerability Atlas (sarva.saeon.ac.za); IQAir World Air Quality Report 2025; Clean Air Fund South Africa (cleanairfund.org); WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines (2021).

Note: PM<sub>2.5</sub> values are estimates based on monitoring network data and modelled outputs. Exact values vary by monitoring station, season, and measurement year. All values indicate substantial exceedance of WHO guidelines.





### 5.4 Timeline of Key Environmental Events Observed (2025–2026)

Date	Location	FLCC Team	Observation / Event
Jul 2025	N3 Germiston–Midrand	Logistics Drivers	Mass illegal dumping of CDW and household waste alongside N3 off-ramps; burning waste noted
Aug 2025	N1 South (Jhb–Vaal)	Long Haul Driver	Severe winter haze documented; brown sky from CBD to Meyerton; reduced visibility 5–10 km
Oct 2025	Waterfall, Midrand	Construction Supply Team	Greenfield grassland stripped of 40–60 ha; stormwater channels disrupted; no erosion controls
Nov 2025	Centurion (Hennops)	Materials Delivery	CDW dumped in river servitude; concrete and timber visible in riparian zone
Jan 2026	Boksburg–Brakpan	Infrastructure Project	Machinery tracked through active wetland margin; hydrocarbon contamination observed
Feb 2026	Johannesburg CBD	Fleet Manager	Illegal e-waste and plastic burning near informal settlement; black smoke column documented
Mar 2026	R80 Centurion–Rosslyn	Car Carrier Crew	Illegal waste burn event near residential area; reported to authorities
Apr 2026	Multiple Routes	All Fleet	Return of winter inversion conditions; PM <sub>2.5</sub> exceedance conditions across Highveld

Source: FLCC Solutions Environmental Observation Log, 2025–2026. All incidents were documented by operational staff and retained in FLCC's internal environmental records.





**6. SOUTH AFRICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY FRAMEWORK**

South Africa possesses one of the most progressive environmental legislative frameworks on the African continent, anchored by Section 24 of the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa (1996), which guarantees every person the right to an environment that is not harmful to their health or wellbeing. The following table summarises the key legislative and policy instruments governing the issues addressed in this report.

Legislation / Policy	Key Provision	Implementing Authority
Constitution, Section 24 (1996)	Right to a healthy environment; state duty to prevent ecological degradation	All spheres of government
National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA)	Framework for environmental management and integrated planning	DFFE (national); MECs (provincial)
National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act 39 of 2004 (AQA)	Sets NAAQS standards; establishes air quality management plans	DFFE; Municipalities
National Environmental Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008 (NEMWA)	Waste licensing, hierarchy (reduce/reuse/recycle), landfill regulation	DFFE; Municipalities
National Waste Management Strategy 2020	Targets for waste diversion, recycling, extended producer responsibility	DFFE
Gauteng Conservation Plan (C-Plan v4.0, 2024)	Spatial biodiversity planning; guides development away from critical areas	Gauteng DARD; SANBI
National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004 (NEMBA)	Listing of threatened ecosystems and species; biodiversity planning	DFFE; SANBI





Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) Regulations 2021	Obligates producers of packaging, paper, tyres, e-waste to fund recycling	DFFE
---	---	------

Source: South African Government legislation database (gov.za); DFFE official publications; SANBI.

While South Africa's legislative framework is comprehensive in design, implementation and enforcement remain persistently weak. Underfunded municipalities, insufficient monitoring infrastructure, inadequate penalties for non-compliance, and systemic capacity constraints create significant gaps between policy intent and on-the-ground reality. FLCC's observations confirm that illegal dumping, unlicensed burning, and construction without adequate environmental authorisation continue largely unchecked in many parts of Gauteng.





## 7. RECOMMENDATIONS

### 7.1 For Government and Municipal Authorities

- Dramatically increase the frequency and geographic coverage of illegal dumping enforcement operations, with dedicated environmental inspectors along major transport corridors.
- Invest in accessible, geographically distributed waste separation infrastructure in informal settlements to reduce reliance on open burning as a waste disposal method.
- Accelerate implementation of the Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) regulations (2021) and ensure plastics, packaging, and e-waste producers fund recovery infrastructure at scale.
- Strengthen the Gauteng Conservation Plan (C-Plan v4.0) by making biodiversity compliance a binding pre-condition for environmental authorisation approvals, with no exceptions for 'strategically important' developments.
- Increase investment in the national air quality monitoring network, prioritising the Highveld and Vaal Triangle priority areas where exceedances of NAAQS standards are most severe.
- Establish a dedicated Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW) management task force in Gauteng to enforce CDW management plans and develop regional CDW processing facilities.

### 7.2 For the Private Sector and the Logistics Industry

- Adopt zero-tolerance internal policies on the transportation or facilitation of illegal waste dumping by operators, subcontractors, or clients.
- Integrate environmental KPIs — including waste incidents reported, idling time reduction, and route-based air quality documentation — into fleet management dashboards.
- Support route-based environmental monitoring by equipping vehicles with low-cost air quality sensors to expand the geographic coverage of real-time environmental data.
- Prioritise client engagement on responsible material sourcing and end-of-life waste planning for construction projects, using FLCC's logistics position as leverage for positive change.





- Partner with informal waste reclaimers and buyback centres to create formal collection networks that divert construction and packaging waste from landfills.

### 7.3 For FLCC Solutions — Internal Action Plan

- Formalise FLCC's environmental observation programme by training all operational staff in standardised environmental incident reporting protocols and issuing mobile reporting tools.
- Publish an annual environmental observation report (this report constitutes Year 1) as part of FLCC's corporate social responsibility commitment.
- Develop an internal green fleet strategy targeting a 15% reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per tonne-kilometre by 2028 through route optimisation, vehicle maintenance schedules, and progressive electrification where feasible.
- Engage with groundWork, the Clean Air Fund, and SANBI as civil society and academic partners to strengthen the scientific rigour of future environmental observation cycles.
- Advocate, through industry bodies and public platforms, for stronger environmental enforcement along South Africa's major freight corridors.





## 8. CONCLUSION

The twelve-month environmental observation conducted by FLCC Solutions between June 2025 and May 2026 has produced a sobering picture of the environmental state of South Africa's urban corridors, particularly within the Gauteng region. Across all three thematic areas — waste management, air quality, and ecosystem disruption from infrastructure development — the evidence points to a consistent pattern: the pace of urban growth is dramatically outstripping the capacity of existing environmental management systems to protect communities and ecosystems.

Approximately 90% of South Africa's waste reaches landfills or illegal dumpsites. Gauteng's air is the most polluted in the country, with PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels estimated at 6–9 times the WHO annual guideline in the Johannesburg region. More than 56% of the province's critical ecological infrastructure has been degraded or transformed, with greenfield conversion rates that are effectively irreversible in any meaningful timeframe.

Yet this report is not an indictment without hope. South Africa has the legal framework, the scientific knowledge, the civil society energy, and the corporate will to reverse these trends. What remains is the commitment to act — at governmental, institutional, corporate, and community level — with the urgency that the data demands.

FLCC Solutions commits to continuing its environmental observation programme, to publishing the findings annually, and to integrating environmental responsibility more deeply into every dimension of its operations. Moving materials and building futures must not come at the cost of the environment that sustains all futures. We call on fellow companies, policymakers, and the communities we serve to join us in this commitment.

*"The environment is not a luxury. It is the foundation upon which all economic activity depends."*

— FLCC Solutions Environmental Research Division, May 2026





## REFERENCES & BIBLIOGRAPHY

The following references were used in the preparation of this report. All web sources were accessed during the period April–May 2026.

1. Berge, T. & von Blottnitz, H. (2022). Construction and Demolition Waste in South Africa: Quantification and Characterisation. University of Cape Town.
2. City of Cape Town. (2024). Air Quality Management Plan — 2nd Generation (2024–2030). City of Cape Town Environmental Management Department. Retrieved from: [resource.capetown.gov.za](http://resource.capetown.gov.za)
3. Clean Air Fund. (2025). South Africa Programme Overview. Retrieved from: [cleanairfund.org/geography/south-africa/](http://cleanairfund.org/geography/south-africa/)
4. Compaan, A. (2011). Gauteng Biodiversity Distribution Map. Gauteng Provincial Government.
5. Department of Environmental Affairs. (2018). National Waste Management Strategy 2020. Republic of South Africa, Department of Environmental Affairs, Pretoria.
6. De Villiers, J. et al. (2024). Estimation of Construction and Demolition Waste in South Africa. South African Journal of Civil Engineering.
7. Fitchett, A. & Rambuwani, G. (2022). Construction and Demolition Waste Management in Gauteng Province. South African Built Environment Journal.
8. Frontiers in Sustainable Cities. (2025). Assessing Waste Management Performance in Smart Cities through the 'Zero Waste Index': Case of African Waste Reclaimers Organisation, Johannesburg, South Africa. doi:10.3389/frsc.2025.1449868
9. Gauteng Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (GDARD) & SANBI. (2024). Gauteng Conservation Plan Version 4.0. Johannesburg: Gauteng Provincial Government.
10. Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. (2019). Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Seattle, USA.
11. Health Effects Institute. (2020). State of Global Air 2020 — Special Report. Boston, MA: Health Effects Institute.
12. IMARC Group. (2025). South Africa Waste Management Market Size and Outlook 2024–2033. Retrieved from: [vocal.media/futurism/south-africa-waste-management-market](http://vocal.media/futurism/south-africa-waste-management-market)
13. IQAir. (2025). 2025 World Air Quality Report. Retrieved from: [iqair.com/south-africa](http://iqair.com/south-africa) 20





14. Morakinyo, O.M., Mukhola, M.S. & Mokgobu, M.I. (2020). Ambient Gaseous Pollutants in an Urban Area in South Africa: Levels and Potential Human Health Risk. *Atmosphere*, 11, 751.
15. NextMSC. (2025). Africa Waste Management Market Size and Share Analysis 2030. Retrieved from: [nextmsc.com/report/africa-waste-management-market](https://nextmsc.com/report/africa-waste-management-market)
16. OAE Publish. (2025). The Impact of Dumping Sites on Air, Soil and Water Pollution in Selected Southern African Countries: Challenges and Recommendations. *Waste Ecology and Conservation Notes*. doi:10.20517/wecn.2024.71
17. Parmesan, C. et al. (2023). Terrestrial and Freshwater Ecosystems and Their Services. In HO Portner et al. (eds.). Cambridge University Press.
18. PMC / National Center for Biotechnology Information. (2022). Research Trends in Ambient Air Quality Monitoring and Management in South Africa: A Bibliometric Review. PMC9767470.
19. PMC / National Center for Biotechnology Information. (2021). Urban Nexus and Transformative Pathways towards Resilient Cities: A Case of the Gauteng City-Region, South Africa. PMC7615023.
20. Republic of South Africa. (1996). Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, Section 24: Environmental Rights. Government Printer, Pretoria.
21. Republic of South Africa. (1998). National Environmental Management Act 107 of 1998 (NEMA). Government Printer, Pretoria.
22. Republic of South Africa. (2004). National Environmental Management: Air Quality Act 39 of 2004. Government Printer, Pretoria.
23. Republic of South Africa. (2004). National Environmental Management: Biodiversity Act 10 of 2004. Government Printer, Pretoria.
24. Republic of South Africa. (2008). National Environmental Management: Waste Act 59 of 2008. Government Printer, Pretoria.
25. Republic of South Africa. (2021). Extended Producer Responsibility Regulations. Government Gazette No. 44937. Department of Forestry, Fisheries and the Environment.
26. SANBI (South African National Biodiversity Institute). (2025). National Biodiversity Assessment: Pressures on Biodiversity. Retrieved from: [nba.sanbi.org.za/content/terrestrial/ter\\_pressures-condition.html](https://nba.sanbi.org.za/content/terrestrial/ter_pressures-condition.html)
27. SAEON (South African Environmental Observation Network). (2025). Air Quality — South African Risk & Vulnerability Atlas. Retrieved from: [sarva.saeon.ac.za/air-quality/](https://sarva.saeon.ac.za/air-quality/)
28. ScienceDirect. (2025). State-of-the-Art Review on Construction and Demolition Waste: The South African Context. doi:10.1016/j.sciencedirect.2025.0491





29. Shikwambana, L. & Tsoeleng, L.T. (2020). Impacts of Population Growth and Land Use on Air Quality: Case Study of Tshwane, Rustenburg and Emalahleni, South Africa. South African Geographical Journal, 102(2), 209–222.
30. Statistics South Africa (StatsSA). (2024). General Household Survey & Provincial Economic Statistics. Retrieved from: [statssa.gov.za](http://statssa.gov.za)
31. Tuholske, C. et al. (2021). Global Impacts of Future Urban Expansion on Terrestrial Vertebrate Diversity. Nature Communications. PMC8956596.
32. White, J.D.M. et al. (2024). Woody Plant Encroachment Drives Population Declines. Global Change Biology 30: e17340.
33. World Health Organization (WHO). (2021). WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines. Geneva: WHO Press.

---

FLCC SOLUTIONS | Environmental Observation & Impact Assessment Report 2026

FLCC-ENV-2026-001

© 2026 FLCC Solutions. Level 1 B-BBEE Certified. All rights reserved. This report is published for public information purposes

---

**Thank you!!!**  
**Baie Dankie!!!**  
**Siyabonga!!!**  
**Siyabulela!!!**  
**Re leboha!!!**  
**Re a leboga!!!**  
**Hi khensa swinene hinkwenu!!!**  
**Ri a livhuwa vhothe!!!**

